## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA SOUTHERN DIVISION

No. 7:22-cv-78

ARCH SPECIALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

FERSTER ELECTRIC, LLC; MATTHEW
FERSTER; ROBERT FERSTER; JUSTIN
SPIVEY; TRAVIS REED SUGGS; PAMELA
SUGGS; KELLI S. BARNES AND
CHRISTOPHER C. SMITH,
ADMINISTRATORS OF THE ESTATE OF
GARRETT SMITH; JAN BRITT LYNN,
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF
MEGAN ALLISON LYNN; AND MADISYN
ELIZABETH REYNOLDS,
ADMINISTRATOR OF THE ESTATE OF
JENNIFER ELIZABETH HAYES,

Defendants.

THIS CAUSE COMES BEFORE THE COURT on the Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims (Directed to All Pending Counterclaims #33, #35, and #38) of Plaintiff Arch Specialty Insurance Company ("Plaintiff") [DE: #45]. This motion is now fully briefed.

In its reply to the Defendant-Counterclaimants' response to the motion to dismiss [DE: #65], Plaintiff informs the Court of new procedural facts which may alter or affect the nature of its arguments set forth in its original motion. As such, Plaintiff requests in that reply that this Court deny its motion without prejudice in light of the new procedural facts referenced therein.

IT APPEARING TO THE COURT that Plaintiff's request is meritorious, this Court DENIES the Motion to Dismiss Counterclaims (Directed to All Pending Counterclaims #33, #35, and #38) without prejudice and ORDERS that pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(a)(4)(A) Plaintiff shall serve its answers to the Counterclaims [DE: #33, #35, and #38] within fourteen (14) days of the date of this Order.

SO ORDERED. This <u>5</u> day of January, 2023.

JAMES C. DEVER III United States District Judge